

# Table of Contents

---

---

<b>Table of Figures</b> .....	<b>vi</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>x</b>
<b>Declaration</b> .....	<b>xi</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>xii</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Inspiration for Computational Grids .....	1
1.2 Economic-based Grid Resource Management and Scheduling .....	2
1.2.1 Assessing Wants and Needs.....	3
1.2.2 The Nimrod-G Grid Resource Broker.....	3
1.2.3 GridSim Toolkit and Economic Grid Broker Simulator .....	5
1.3 Contributions .....	5
1.4 Organization .....	7
1.5 Acknowledgements.....	7
<b>Chapter 2 Grid Technologies and Resource Management Systems</b> .....	<b>9</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	9
2.2 Major Technological Milestones: Enabling Grid and P2P Computing .....	10
2.3 Grid Computing Environments.....	12
2.3.1 Resource Management Challenges .....	12
2.3.2 Grid Components.....	13
2.3.3 Grid Computing Projects .....	14
2.4 Resource Management Systems Taxonomy .....	16
2.5 Mapping Taxonomy to Some Grid Resource Management Systems.....	17
2.5.1 AppLeS: A Network Enabled Scheduler .....	18
2.5.2 Condor: Cycle Stealing Technology for High Throughput Computing.....	18
2.5.3 Data Grid .....	19
2.5.4 Globus: A Toolkit for Grid Computing .....	19
2.5.5 Javelin .....	20
2.5.6 Legion: A Grid Operating System .....	20
2.5.7 MOL: Metacomputing Online Kernel.....	21
2.5.8 NetSolve: A Network Enabled Computational Kernel .....	22
2.5.9 Ninf: A Network Enabled Server.....	22
2.5.10 PUNCH: The Purdue University Network Computing Hubs .....	22
2.5.11 Nimrod-G Grid Resource Broker.....	22
2.6 Summary and Comments.....	23
<b>Chapter 3 Service-Oriented Grid Architecture for Distributed Computational Economies</b> .....	<b>24</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	24
3.2 Computational Economy and its Benefits.....	26
3.3 Requirements for Economic-based Grid Systems.....	27
3.4 Grid Architecture for Computational Economy (GRACE).....	28
3.4.1 Grid Resource Broker (GRB) .....	29
3.4.2 GRACE Framework—Leveraging Globus Tools.....	29
3.4.3 Grid Open Trading Protocols and Deal Template.....	31

3.4.4	Pricing, Accounting, and Payment Mechanisms.....	33
3.5	Related Work.....	35
3.6	Economic Models in the Context of GRACE Framework.....	38
3.6.1	Commodity Market (Flat or Supply-and-Demand Driven Pricing) Model.....	38
3.6.2	Posted Price Model.....	40
3.6.3	Bargaining Model.....	40
3.6.4	Tender/Contract-Net Model.....	41
3.6.5	Auction Model.....	42
3.6.6	Bid-based Proportional Resource Sharing Model.....	44
3.6.7	Cooperative Bartering Model.....	45
3.6.8	Monopoly/Oligopoly.....	45
3.6.9	Other Influences on Market Prices.....	46
3.7	Summary and Conclusion.....	46
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>The Nimrod-G Grid Resource Broker and Economic Scheduling Algorithms.....</b>	<b>47</b>
4.1	Introduction.....	47
4.2	The Nimrod-G Resource Broker: An Economic based Grid Scheduler.....	48
4.2.1	Objectives and goals.....	48
4.2.2	Services and End Users.....	49
4.2.3	Architecture.....	50
4.2.4	Nimrod-G Clients.....	51
4.2.5	The Nimrod-G Grid Resource Broker.....	52
4.3	Scheduling and Computational Economy.....	54
4.4	Scheduling Algorithms.....	54
4.5	Implementation Issues and Technologies Used.....	56
4.6	Scheduling Evaluation on Nimrod-G Simulated Test Queues.....	58
4.7	Scheduling Experiments on the World-Wide Grid.....	60
4.7.1	The World-Wide Grid (WWG) Testbed.....	61
4.7.2	Cost Optimisation Scheduling – Australian Peak and Off-peak Times.....	62
4.7.3	Cost and Time Optimisation Scheduling using Local and Remote Resources.....	67
4.7.4	Large Scale Scheduling with Cost and Time Optimisation.....	70
4.8	Summary and Comments.....	79
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>GridSim: A Toolkit for Modeling and Simulation of Grid Resource Management and Scheduling.....</b>	<b>81</b>
5.1	Introduction.....	81
5.2	Related Work.....	82
5.3	GridSim: Grid Modeling and Simulation Toolkit.....	83
5.3.1	Features.....	83
5.3.2	System Architecture.....	83
5.3.3	SimJava Discrete Event Model.....	84
5.3.4	GridSim Entities.....	85
5.3.5	Application Model.....	86
5.3.6	Interaction Protocols Model.....	87
5.3.7	Resource Model – Simulating Multitasking and Multiprocessing.....	89
5.3.8	GridSim Java Package Design.....	94
5.4	Building Simulations with GridSim.....	97
5.5	Summary and Comments.....	99
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>Scheduling Simulations.....</b>	<b>101</b>
6.1	Economic Grid Resource Broker Simulation.....	101
6.1.1	Broker Architecture.....	101
6.1.2	Determining the Deadline and Budget.....	103
6.1.3	Scheduling Algorithms.....	104
6.2	Simulation Experiment Setup.....	105
6.2.1	Resource Modeling.....	105
6.2.2	Application Modeling.....	106

6.3	Deadline and Budget Constrained Cost Optimisation Scheduling.....	107
6.3.1	Scheduling Experiments with a Single User.....	107
6.3.2	Scheduling Experiments with Multiple Competing Users.....	113
6.4	Deadline and Budget Constrained Time Optimisation Scheduling.....	116
6.5	Comparing the Cost and Time Optimisation Scheduling.....	121
6.6	DBC Cost-Time Optimisation Scheduling.....	123
6.6.1	Experiment Setup.....	123
6.6.2	Scheduling Experiments with Cost and Cost-Time Optimisation Strategies.....	124
6.7	Summary and Conclusion.....	131
<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>The Virtual Laboratory: Enabling Drug Design on the Grid.....</b>	<b>132</b>
7.1	Introduction.....	132
7.2	Operational Model.....	133
7.3	Architecture – The Software Stack.....	134
7.3.1	Docking Code.....	135
7.3.2	Nimrod-G Tools.....	136
7.3.3	Chemical Database Management and Intelligent Access Tools.....	137
7.4	Application Composition.....	138
7.5	Scheduling Experimentations.....	142
7.6	Related Work.....	147
7.7	Summary and Conclusion.....	147
<b>Chapter 8</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Directions.....</b>	<b>149</b>
8.1	Summary.....	149
8.2	Conclusions.....	149
8.3	Future Directions.....	151
8.3.1	Supporting Different Application Models.....	151
8.3.2	Supporting Different Economic Models.....	151
8.3.3	Accounting.....	152
8.3.4	Enhancing GridSim to Support QoS based Resource Entities.....	152
8.3.5	Wide-Area Data-Intensive Programming and Scheduling Framework.....	152
<b>Appendix A</b>	<b>Tools automate computer sharing.....</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>Appendix B</b>	<b>Toolset teams computers to design drugs.....</b>	<b>156</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>159</b>

## Table of Figures

---

---

Figure 1.1: Volta demonstrates the battery for Napoleon I at the French National Institute, Paris, in 1801. The painting (by N. Cianfanelli, 1841) is from the Zoological Section of “La Specula” at the National History Museum, Florence University, Italy. ....	1
Figure 1.2: Nimrod-G Grid resource broker. ....	4
Figure 2.1: Towards Grid computing: A conceptual view.....	10
Figure 2.2: Major milestones in networking and computing technologies from the year 1960 onwards. ....	11
Figure 2.3: A high-level view of the Grid and interaction between its entities. ....	12
Figure 2.4: A layered Grid architecture and components. ....	14
Figure 3.1: A generic Grid architecture for computational economy. ....	28
Figure 3.2: GRACE framework realization within Globus context. ....	30
Figure 3.3: GRACE Open Trading Protocols. ....	31
Figure 3.4: A finite state representation of resource trading (for bargain model).....	32
Figure 3.5: An Interaction between GSP resource management components. ....	33
Figure 3.6: Interaction between GSPs and users in a commodity market Grid for resource trading. ....	39
Figure 3.7: Posted price model and resource trading in a computational market environment. ....	40
Figure 3.8: Brokers bargaining for lower access price for minimizing computational cost. ....	41
Figure 3.9: Tender/ContractNet model for resource trading.....	42
Figure 3.10: Auctions using external auctioneer. ....	43
Figure 3.11: Auctions using their own Auctioneer. ....	44
Figure 3.12: Market-based proportional resource sharing. ....	45
Figure 4.1: QoS based resource management: intersection of economic, scheduling, and Grid worlds.....	49
Figure 4.2: A layered architecture of Nimrod-G system.....	50
Figure 4.3: A Snapshot of Nimrod-G Execution Monitoring and Steering Client.....	51
Figure 4.4: Active Sheet – Spreadsheet processing on the Grid using the Nimrod-G broker.....	52
Figure 4.5: The Flow actions in the Nimrod-G runtime environment. ....	52
Figure 4.6: High level steps for adaptive scheduling used in the Nimrod-G broker.....	55
Figure 4.7: DBC Cost-optimisation scheduling algorithm behaviour for various budgets. ....	59
Figure 4.8: Time optimisation scheduling algorithm behaviour for various budgets. ....	60
Figure 4.9: Conservative time optimisation scheduling algorithm behavior for different budgets.....	60
Figure 4.10: The World Wide Grid (WWG) testbed. ....	61
Figure 4.11: Nimrod-G parameter sweep processing specification. ....	63
Figure 4.12: Computational scheduling during Australian peak time (US off-peak time). ....	64
Figure 4.13: Computational scheduling during Australian off-peak time (US peak time). ....	64
Figure 4.14: Number of resources in use during Australian peak time scheduling experiment.....	65

Figure 4.15: Cost of resources in use at Australian peak time scheduling experiment.....	66
Figure 4.16: Number of resources in use at Australian off-peak time scheduling experiment. ....	66
Figure 4.17: Cost of resources in use at Australian off-peak time scheduling experiment.....	67
Figure 4.18: Resource selection in deadline and budget constrained time optimization scheduling. ....	69
Figure 4.19: Resource selection in deadline and budget constrained cost optimization scheduling.....	69
Figure 4.20: Nimrod-G parameter sweep processing specification. ....	70
Figure 4.21: A snapshot of the Nimrod-G monitor during “optimize for time” scheduling experiment. ....	72
Figure 4.22: A snapshot of the Nimrod-G monitor during “optimize for cost” scheduling experiment. ....	72
Figure 4.23: No. of jobs in execution on different Grid resources during DBC time optimization scheduling. ....	73
Figure 4.24: Total No. of jobs in execution on Grid during DBC time optimization scheduling.....	74
Figure 4.25: No. of jobs processed on different Grid resources during DBC time optimization scheduling.....	74
Figure 4.26: Total no. of jobs processed on Grid resources during DBC time optimization scheduling.....	75
Figure 4.27: The amount spent on different Grid resources during DBC time optimization scheduling.....	75
Figure 4.28: The total amount spent on Grid during DBC time optimization scheduling. ....	76
Figure 4.29: No. of jobs in execution on different Grid resources during DBC cost optimization scheduling. ....	77
Figure 4.30: Total No. of jobs in execution on Grid during DBC cost optimization scheduling.....	77
Figure 4.31: No. of jobs processed on different Grid resources during DBC cost optimization scheduling.....	78
Figure 4.32: Total No. of jobs processed on Grid during DBC cost optimization scheduling.....	78
Figure 4.33: The amount spent on different Grid resources during DBC cost optimization scheduling. ....	79
Figure 4.34: The total amount spent on Grid during DBC cost optimization scheduling.....	79
Figure 5.1: A modular architecture for GridSim platform and components. ....	84
Figure 5.2: A flow diagram in GridSim based simulations. ....	85
Figure 5.3: Entity communication model via its Input and Output entities. ....	87
Figure 5.4: An event diagram for interaction between a time-shared resource and other entities. ....	88
Figure 5.5: An event diagram for interaction between a space-shared resource and other entities. ....	89
Figure 5.6: An event handler for simulating time-shared resource scheduling.....	90
Figure 5.7: PE share allocation to Gridlet in time-shared GridSim resource.....	91
Figure 5.8: Modeling time-shared multitasking and multiprocessing based on an event scheme. ....	91
Figure 5.9: An event handler for simulating space-shared resource scheduling.....	92
Figure 5.10: PE allocation to the Gridlets in space-shared GridSim resource.....	93
Figure 5.11: Modeling space-shared multiprocessing based on an event scheme. ....	93
Figure 5.12: A class hierarchy diagram of GridSim package. ....	95
Figure 5.13: Global tags in GridSim package.....	96
Figure 5.14: A sample code segment for creating Grid resource and user entities in GridSim. ....	98
Figure 5.15: The Gridlet method in GridSim.....	98
Figure 5.16: A sample code segment for creating a Grid resource broker in GridSim.....	99
Figure 6.1: Economic Grid resource broker architecture and its interaction with other entities.....	102
Figure 6.2: A class hierarchy diagram of Grid broker using the gridsim package.....	103
Figure 6.3: Deadline and budget constrained (DBC) scheduling with cost-optimization.....	106
Figure 6.4: No. of Gridlets processed for different budget limits with a fixed deadline for each.....	107

Figure 6.5: No. of Gridlets processed for different deadline limits with a fixed budget for each.....	108
Figure 6.6: Deadline time utilized for processing Gridlets for different values of deadline and budget. ...	108
Figure 6.7: Budget spent for processing Gridlets for different values of deadline and budget.....	109
Figure 6.8: Gridlets processed on resources for different budget values with short deadline.....	109
Figure 6.9: Gridlets processed on resources for different budget values with medium deadline.....	110
Figure 6.10: Gridlets processed on resources for different budget values with long deadline.....	110
Figure 6.11: Trace of No. of Gridlets processed for a short deadline and high budget constraints. ....	111
Figure 6.12: Trace of budget spent for short deadline and high budget constraints. ....	111
Figure 6.13: Trace of No. of Gridlets processed for a medium deadline and low budget constraints. ....	112
Figure 6.14: Trace of No. of Gridlets processed for a long deadline and low budget constraints. ....	112
Figure 6.15: Trace of the no. of Gridlets committed for a short deadline and high budget constraints. ....	113
Figure 6.16: Trace of the no. of Gridlets committed for a medium deadline and high budget constraints. ....	113
Figure 6.17: No. of Gridlets processed for each user when a varying number of users competing for resources. ....	114
Figure 6.18: The average time at which the user experiment is terminated when a number of users are competing for resources.....	115
Figure 6.19: The average budget spent by each user for processing Gridlets.....	115
Figure 6.20: No. of Gridlets processed for each user with varying number of users competing for resources. ....	116
Figure 6.21: The average time at which the user experiment is terminated with varying number of users competing for resources.....	117
Figure 6.22: The average budget spent by each user for processing Gridlets.....	117
Figure 6.23: Deadline and budget constrained (DBC) time optimisation scheduling algorithm. ....	118
Figure 6.24: No. of Gridlets processed for different budget and deadline limits.....	119
Figure 6.25: The time spent in processing Gridlets using the DBC time optimisation.....	119
Figure 6.26: The budget spent in processing Gridlets using the DBC time optimisation.....	120
Figure 6.27: Selection of different resources for processing Gridlets for different budget limits.....	120
Figure 6.28: The budget spent in processing Gridlets on different resources for different budgets. ....	121
Figure 6.29: The time spent in processing application jobs using time cost and time optimisation scheduling algorithms given different budget limits.....	122
Figure 6.30: The budget spent in processing application jobs using time cost and time optimisation scheduling algorithms given different budget limits.....	122
Figure 6.31: Deadline and budget constrained (DBC) scheduling with cost-time optimisation.....	123
Figure 6.32: The number of Gridlets processed, time, and budget spent for different deadline and time limits when scheduled using the cost and cost-time optimisation algorithms. ....	125
Figure 6.33: The number of Gridlets processed and resources selected for different budget values with a long deadline value when scheduled using the cost and cost-time optimisation algorithms. ....	126
Figure 6.34: The number of Gridlets processed and resources selected for different deadline values with a large budget when scheduled using the cost and cost-time optimisation algorithms.....	127
Figure 6.35: The time spent for processing application jobs for different deadline constraints with a large budget when scheduled using the cost and cost-time optimisation algorithms.....	127
Figure 6.36: The budget spent for processing application jobs for different deadline constraints with a large budget when scheduled using the cost and cost-time optimisation algorithms.....	128
Figure 6.37: Trace of No. of Gridlets processed on resources for a medium deadline and high budget constraints when scheduled using the cost optimisation strategy. ....	129

Figure 6.38: Trace of No. of Gridlets processed on resources for a medium deadline and high budget constraints when scheduling using the cost-time optimisation strategy.....	129
Figure 6.39: Trace of the number of Gridlets committed to resources for a medium deadline and high budget constraints when scheduled using the cost optimisation strategy. ....	130
Figure 6.40: Trace of the number of Gridlets committed to resources for a medium deadline and high budget constraints when scheduled using the cost-time optimisation strategy. ....	130
Figure 7.1: X-ray crystal structure of a target protein receptor and small molecules to be docked. ....	133
Figure 7.2: Resource brokering architecture for screening molecules on distributed resources. ....	134
Figure 7.3: Layered architecture of Virtual Laboratory for drug design.....	135
Figure 7.4: Relation between key programs in the <i>dock</i> suite. ....	136
Figure 7.5: Deployment of Virtual Laboratory components at runtime and their interaction.....	137
Figure 7.6: Protocols for Interaction between the CDB clients and the server. ....	138
Figure 7.7: A configuration input file for docking application. ....	139
Figure 7.8: Parameterisation of a configuration input file .....	140
Figure 7.9: A plan file defining parameters type and their values. ....	140
Figure 7.10: Task definition of docking jobs.....	141
Figure 7.11: Parameterisation of script for extracting molecule from CDB. ....	141
Figure 7.12: Static and Dynamic Input Files of Docking program. ....	143
Figure 7.13: A snapshot of the Nimrod-G monitor during “Optimize for Time” scheduling. ....	143
Figure 7.14: A snapshot of the Nimrod-G monitor during “Optimize for Cost” scheduling. ....	143
Figure 7.15: No. of jobs processed on Grid resources during DBC time optimization scheduling. ....	144
Figure 7.16: The amount spent on resources during DBC time optimization scheduling. ....	145
Figure 7.17: No. of jobs in execution on Grid resources during DBC time optimization scheduling. ....	145
Figure 7.18: No. of jobs processed on Grid resources during DBC Cost optimization scheduling. ....	146
Figure 7.19: The amount spent on resources during DBC Cost optimization scheduling. ....	146
Figure 7.20: No. of jobs in execution on Grid resources during DBC Cost optimization scheduling. ....	147